

Committee	STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE
Report Title	ADDENDUM
Contributors	Lewis Goodley
Date	30 January 2020

1 **ADDENDUM**

1 This report sets out an addendum to the committee agenda published on 21 January 2020 in respect of Strategic Planning Committee on 30 January 2020.

2 The report corrects an error made in the Committee Report at paragraph 29, as set out below.

3 The report also provides an update to the status of Scott House and a recent statutory listing request made to Historic England, as set out below.

2 **ITEM 3 – SCOTT HOUSE**

Correction to paragraph 29 of Committee Report.

4 Officers received comments from Historic England. Paragraph 29 of the agenda states that Historic England (HE) object to the proposals based on the loss of the pediment.

5 This is in error, in their response HE do not refer to the pediment on the eastern elevation of Scott House. The consultation response states that in their opinion, the proposed partial façade retention and tall building extrusion would erode the authenticity and integrity of Scott House.

6 HE confirm that it is unlikely that the proposed development would have a major impact on View 5A.2 from Greenwich Park, and View 6A.1 from Blackheath Point, both towards the St Paul's Cathedral of the LVMF.

7 *Officer Response*

8 No new material considerations that have not been fully considered or addressed within the published committee report arise from this error.

9 The matters relating to design and heritage are considered in Section 7.3 of the Committee Report.

Historic England – Decision not to Statutory List Scott House

10 An application to list Scott House was made by a member of the public.

11 Historic England rejected this application on 22 January 2020. A copy of their decision is available at **Appendix 1** of this addendum.

12 Historic England have assessed the proposal and conclude that the building is not of national historic significance. The report concludes:

“Although of clear local interest as a reminder of the tin box industry particular to this part of London, based on the information provided, and with

reference to the DCMS Principles of Selection (2018) and our Selection Guides, this early-C20 factory building is not recommended for listing for the following principal reasons:

Degree of architectural interest:

** although the building has a degree of architectural ornamentation, overall it is a typical and undistinguished example of Edwardian commercial/factory design;*

** materials are standard for the period and there is no evidence of innovative structural characteristics;*

** external alterations, notably the replacement of the original multi-pane metal windows (shown in early photographs) and changes to the south elevation, have compromised its original character;*

** the interior has presumably been partitioned so that any evidence relating to the original industrial functions and processes has been lost.*

Degree of historical interest:

** the building has some local historical interest as a reminder of the tin box industry, particularly associated with this part of London. Its use for this function was, however, short-lived and is of regional rather than national historical interest.”*

13

The decision of HE therefore does not materially changed any matters considered in the Committee Report. The building remains a non-designated heritage asset, as considered in Section 7.3 of the Committee Report.